Practitioner's Docket No. U 015014-8



IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

AUG 8 2 2006

Maria Del Pilar NORIEGA ESCOBAR, et al. Group No.: In re application of: Application No.: 10/780,290 Examiner:

Filed: February 17, 2004

METHOD AND DEVICE TO VISUALIZE IN-LINE AND QUANTIFY THE POLYMER MELTING IN PLASTICATING SCREW MACHINES WITHOUT SIGNIFICANTLY

AFFECTING ITS THERMAL REGIME

Commissioner for Patents P. O. Box 1450 Atexandria, VA 22313-1450

STATUS INQUIRY

Submission of a status letter after a Notice of Allowance may subject an application to o reduction in patent term adjustment under 37 C.F.R. § 1.1704(c)(10). See Notice of WARNING: May 29, 2001, 1247 OG 111-112, June 26, 2001.

1.	More than _	28	months	have	passed	since
----	-------------	----	--------	------	--------	-------

NEW APPLICATIONS Ø

the filing of this application on February 17, 2004

No communication has been received from the Patent and Trademark Office indicating action on this application.

AMENDED APPLICATIONS

No further communication has been received from the Patent and Trademark Office.

CERTIFICATION UNDER 37 C.F.R. 1.8(a) and 1.10*

(When using Express Mail, the Express Matt label number is mandatory; Express Moil certification is optional.)

I hereby certify that, on the date shown below, this correspondence is being:

,	MAHAN	G Con Postents P. O. Box 1450.
	MAHAN deposited with the United States Postal Service in an envelope	addressed to the Commissioner for Faterior
	deposited with the tritter States Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.	37 C.F.R. 1.10*
	37 C.F.R. 1.8(n)	as "Express Mail Post Office to Address"
	with sufficient postage as first class mail.	Mailing Label No. (mandatory)
	TRANSMI	SSION
12	transmitted by facsimile to the Patent and Trademark Office	The state of the s
		Signature
Ľ	Date: August 2, 2006	William R. Evans (type or print name of person certifying)

[•] Only the date of filing (§ 1.6) will be the date used in a potent term adjustment calculation, although the date on any certificate of mailing or transmission under § 1.8 continues to be taken into account in determining timeliness. See § 1.703(f). Consider "Express Mail Post Office to Addressee" (§ 1.10) or facsimile transmission (§ 1.6(d)) for the reply to be accorded the carliest

	APPEALED APPLIC	ATION Brief was filed on			
		nd complete applicable items below)			
	An Examiner's Answer was mailed on				
	☐ ∧ Reply to the	he Examiner's Answer was submitted on			
2. Kindly adv	the standard ordered	f the present status of this application, by checking the appropriate essed envelope is provided.			
NOTE:	$M.P.E.P. \S~203.08~Status~Inquiries.~S^{th}~Edition, cautions as to the submission of status inquiries as follows:$				
	NEW APPLICATION				
	Current examining proc Form PTOL-37 in every addition to a formal Not need for status inquiries application may have b inquery would be approf of form PTOL-37.	edures now provide for the routine mailing from the Technology Centers (TCs) of v case of allowance of on application. Thus, the mading of a form PTOL-37 in ice of Allowance (PTOL-85) in all allowed applications would seem to obviate the ice en as a precautionary measure where the applicant may believe his or her new ice en as a precautionary measure where the applicant may believe his or her new ice en passed to issue on the first examination. However, as an exception, a status where a Notice of Allowance is not received within three months from receipt			
	Current examining procedures also aim to minimize the spread in dates among the various examiner dockets of each art unit and TC with respect to actions on new applications. Accordingly, the dates of the "oldest new applications" appearing in the Official Gazette are fairly reliable guides as to the expected time frames of when the examiners reach the applications or action.				
	Therefore, it should be rarely necessary to query the status of a new application.				
	AMENDED APPLICATIONS Amended applications are expected to be taken up by the examiner and an action completed within two months of the date the examiner receivers the application. Accordingly, a status inquiry is not in order after reply by the attorney until 5 or 6 months have clapsed with no response from the Office. A postcard receipt for replies to the Office actions, adequately and specifically identifying the papers filed, will be considered prima facts proof of receipt of such papers. Where such proof indicates the timely filing of a reply, the submission of a copy of the postcard with a copy of the reply will ordinarily obviate the need for a potition to revive. Proof of receipt of a timely reply to a final action will obviate the need for a potition to revive only if the reply was in compliance with 37 C.F.R. 1.113.				
Reg. No.:		SIGNATURE OF PRACTITIONER			
кец. ки					
		William R. Evans, 25858, (212) 708-1930 (type or print name of practitioner)			
		(type or print name a) processions.)			
Tel. No.: ()	P.O. Address			
Customer?	No.:	c/o Ladas & Parry LLP 26 West 61 st Street New York, N. Y. 10023			

STATUS INQUIRY REPLY

RECEIVED CENTRAL FAX CENTER

APPLICATION	N SERI	AL NO	IS CURRENT	I.Y	AUG 8 9 2006
	ASSIC	GNED TO GROUP _ ACTION BY THE APPLICANT'S RE	EXAMINER.	AND AWAITS:	•
APPEAL NO.					
0	INTE	VAITING ACTION I REFERENCES			
		DATE OF HEARI DECISION EXPE		.D	•